

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,829	MARIANS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank W Lu	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Frank W Lu.

(2) Ms. Marina Larson (Reg. No. 32, 038).

Date of Interview: 25 July 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant agrees to amend claims 13-15.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: after applicant's preliminary amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Lu indicates that claims 13-15 are duplicated claims of claims 9-11 and are required to be canceled or amended. Ms. Larson suggests the examiner to amend claims 13 and 14 and make claims 13 and 14 to be dependent on claim 5 in the examiner's amendment. Mr. Lu accepts Ms. Larson's suggestion. .